



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/730,229	12/08/2003	Donald L. Schilling	I-2-0074.8US	2483
24374 75	590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZ	ZA, SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			2876	-
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/730,229	SCHILLING, DONALD L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI ALLU DIA DATE CUI	Jamara A. Franklin	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	-				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/03;12/04</u>. 	4)				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,229

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

No evidence has been found in the specifications to support the claim of a cellular phone although the radio unit as discussed in the specifications in paragraph 92 may be accessed by mobile cellular telephone broadcasts.

No evidence has been found in the specifications to support the limitation citing a cellular phone comprising "a controller that generates a first personal access number from said first subscriber identification information". Firstly, subscriber identification information is not found and secondly, the actual act of generating a first personal access number from identification information has not been found.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,229

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama et al (US 4,680,785) (hereinafter referred to as 'Akiyama') in view of Le et al. (US 6,556,820) (hereinafter referred to as 'Le').

Akiyama teaches a phone comprising:

a first removable subscriber identification card, wherein said first card includes a memory that stores first subscriber identification information (portable identification device 4);

a card interface (card reader 5);

a controller that generates a first personal access number from said first subscriber identification (col. 2, lines 23-40); and

Application/Control Number: 10/730,229

Art Unit: 2876

a transmitter that transmits said first personal access number to a wireless communication device;

the phone further comprising a second removable subscriber identification, including second subscriber identification information;

the phone wherein the transmission of said first personal access number to said wireless communication system enable said phone to receive calls associated with said first subscriber information (col. 3, lines 1-15);

the phone wherein said first subscriber identification information includes a subscriber account number; and

the phone wherein said transmitter is a spread spectrum transmitter.

Akiyama lacks the teaching of a cellular phone and a card having a microprocessor.

Le teaches a SIM card having a microprocessor for use with a cellular phone (col. 4, line 50-col. 5, line 7).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that providing the Akiyama invention with a cellular phone would have been beneficial for providing mobility to the phone user. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that providing the Akiyama invention with a card having a microprocessor would have been beneficial for making the card multi-functioning and able to store large amounts of data. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Akiyama with the aforementioned teaching of Le to increase the flexibility of the user when using the phone.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamara A. Franklin

Examiner
Art Unit 2876

JAF

April 11, 2005

DIANE I. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5